

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,935	07/07/2003	Masayuki Ikeno	239863US0 CIP	6629
22850	7590 04/20/2005	EXAMINER		
•	IVAK, MCCLELLA	ROBERTSON, JEFFREY		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	m
0,	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/612,935	IKENO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey B. Robertson	1712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	ıly 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/044,983.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0703,0803</u> .	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	, <del>-</del>	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 041505					

W

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: For claim 10, in line 2, ester is spelled incorrectly. Also, there is a period in the middle of line 4 that should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoue et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,485,206).

For claims 1 and 7, Inoue teaches compositions containing 100 parts by weight of a diorganopolysiloxane terminated with hydroxyl groups having a degree of polymerization (corresponding to applicant's n) of at least 5, 0.5 to 30 parts of an organosilane having at least three hydrolyzable groups, and 0.1-20 parts by weight of an organic sulfur compound. Col. 1, line 45 through col. 2, line 4. For claims 1 and 6, Inoue teaches the presence of a curing catalyst that includes titanium chelates. Col. 5, lines 6-14 and 64.

For claims 2 and 3, Inoue discloses that the diorganopolysiloxane contains alkyl groups and that the viscosity is preferably between 1000-100,000 centistokes. Col. 2,

Application/Control Number: 10/612,935

Art Unit: 1712

lines 11-52. The viscosity range appears to be consistent with n values between 100 and 1000.

For claim 4, Inoue teaches that the hydrolyzable groups on the organosilane include alkoxy groups. Col. 3, lines 41-64.

For claims 5 and 8, Inoue teaches that the sulfur compound is tetraethylthiuram disulfide.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Favre et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,529,749).

For claims 1-7, Favre teaches compositions containing 100 parts by weight of a diorganopolysiloxane having hydrocarbon groups terminated with hydroxyl groups having a viscosity of 700-1,000,000 mPa.s, 0.5 to 30 parts of an organosilane having at least three hydrolyzable groups (including alkoxy groups), 0.0003 to 15 parts of a curing catalyst that includes titanium chelates, and 0.01 to 1.2% of a tetralkylthiuram disulfide. Col. 2, lines 10-67. The viscosity range appears to be consistent with n values between 100 and 1000.

For claim 8, Favre teaches that the sulfur compound is tetraethylthiuram disulfide. Col. 8, lines 47-48.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Onishi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,973,644).

For claims 1-7, in Example 1, Onishi teaches compositions containing 100 parts by weight of a diorganopolysiloxane having hydrocarbon groups terminated with alkoxy

Art Unit: 1712

groups having a viscosity of 15,000 centipoise, 5 parts of methyltrimethoxysilane, 1.5 parts of a curing catalyst that is a titanium chelate, and 1 part by weight of mercaptopropylalkoxysilanes. The viscosity range appears to be consistent with n values between 100 and 1000. In column 7, lines 7-14, Onishi teaches an example where the alkoxy-terminated polysiloxane of Example 1 is replaced with the corresponding hydroxyl-terminated dimethylpolysiloxane. This composition anticipates applicant's claims. The mercaptosilanes set forth by Onishi are not excluded by claim 1 of the instant application.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. For claims 9-13, the prior art cited above is the closest prior art. None of those references teaches or suggests the particular sulfur containing organic compounds set forth in claims 9-13.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brooks et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,318,763), Itoh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,201,698), and Liang (U.S. Patent No. 4,797,448) are cited for general interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey B. Robertson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1092. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-3:00.

Art Unit: 1712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey B. Robertsor Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

**JBR**